

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/189,702	11/10/1998	ALESSANDRO LETTE	2473.0370001/EKS/M-M	5779		
26111 75	90 04/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	SSLER, GOLDSTEIN	SCHWADRON, RONALD B				
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
***************************************	.,, 20 2000		1644			
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/189,702	LETTE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644		

Advisory Action	09/109,702	LETTE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644	1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 3/20/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>						
b) The period for reply expires		in the final rejection, whi	ichever is later In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 March 2006</u>. A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid disa	missal of the			
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially re		he issues for			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOI -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):	····p···a·····························				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,		_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9.16 and 31.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-30,32-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	<u> </u>			
		BONALD B. COLUM	()			
	-	RONALD B. SCHWAD PRIMARY EXAMINE	RON IR			

GROUP 1800 ( 600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration . For example, claim 36 recites "further comprising a T helper peptide" wherein said limitation was not previously present in the claims under consideration..